EDUCATIONAL 12 SERVICE DISTRICT

Sexual Incident Supplemental Questions

Level 1 Protocol



Upon discovery of the incident, the school resource officer or local law enforcement should be informed. If the event is found to be illegal, report to the level offices and follow district protocol guidelines.



Level 1 to be considered by Administrator & Counselor

Guidelines for consideration of Level 1 (any of the following):

- 1. Sexual incident occurs at school.
- 2. School staff is informed about concerning sexual behavior occurring in school or community.
- 3. Sexual behavior is causing disruption to school activity.
- 4. There is a history of sexually inappropriate behavior.
- 5. Staff, parent, or students perceive the sexual incident as unusual, odd, or inappropriate.
- 6. Administrator is unable to assert that the concern is unfounded.



Unfounded Concern

Level 1 Protocol completed by Site Team

Steps 1 - 3:

Demographics and screening

Step 4:

Use supervision strategies to address concerns. Determine if Level 2 is needed by using suggested criteria.

Step 5:

After completing Level 1, call Threat Assessment Coordinator at ESD 112.

Step 6:

Sign and send a copy of the Level 1 to the Threat Assessment Coordinator at ESD 112.

IMPORTANT

Maintain two copies of the Level 1: One in a letter-size manila envelope marked "Confidential" placed in the student's regular academic or cumulative file and a second copy in a working file in the administrator's (case manager's) office.

This protocol is only to be used by staff who have been trained in the Level 1 Screening Process. Read at the beginning of every Level 1 meeting.

The results of this survey do not predict or diagnose sexual deviance, nor are they designed to assess an individual's or group's risk of harm to others. This survey is not a checklist that can be quantified. It is a guide designed to assist Level 1 teams in making a determination regarding whether the sexual incident in question is normative or non-normative and to assist the school staff in the development of a management plan. This guide is not intended to serve as an investigation of potential danger and should not be employed for the purpose of identifying circumstances and variables that may increase risk for potential sexual misconduct. Furthermore, as additional information about a sexual incident is revealed, so may perceptions about the seriousness of the incident change. If you are reviewing this survey at a date after the assessment completion, do so while being mindful of supervision, intervention, and the passage of time.

Complete the following screening as part of the Site (School) Team Investigation using the step-by-step instructions. These questions should be used <u>in addition</u> to the Level 1 Screening Protocol. Attach this completed document to the Level 1 Screening Protocol.

LEVEL 1 SCREENING

Step 1: Make sure all students/staff are safe							
If necessary, take appropriate precautions such as detaining the student and restricting access to coats, backpacks, lockers, etc.							
If IMMINENT danger exists, call law enforcement, level office, and follow district safety guidelines.							
Notification to parent/guardian of identified targeted student(s) as outlined in the district policy.							
Step 2: Complete the following information:							
The pa	arent/guar	dian has bee	n notified that	this screening is	being	done.	
The pa	The parent/guardian has not been notified of this meeting because:						
Paren	Parent interview completed, if parent cannot attend.						
Parent discouraged from attending by legal counsel.							
School:			School Phone	:		Today's Date:	
Admin/C	Admin/Case Mgr: Date of Incident:		nt:				
Student Name:		Student #:					
DOB:	·		Age:		Gra		
Copy of DISTRICT INCIDENT REPORT is attached.							

Step 3: Screening – Discuss, Investigate, and Document
Each question is a prompt for exploration of the nature of the sexual incident. Please note concerns by each item or under other concerns. Review the questions below as an outline for a guided conversation investigating the nature of the sexual incident in question.
Was a report filed with SRO? No Yes
Not applicable (historical incident/previous police contact/no legal concern)
Was the event determined to be illegal by the SRO investigation? \(\subseteq \text{No} \subseteq \text{Yes} \subseteq \text{Not applicable}
Describe details of sexual incident:
Peer to Peer
Peer to Peer 1. Are the individuals involved in the sexual incident roughly equivalent in regard to development, cognitive capacity, physical capacity, emotional functioning and coping skills?
1. Are the individuals involved in the sexual incident roughly equivalent in regard to development,
Are the individuals involved in the sexual incident roughly equivalent in regard to development, cognitive capacity, physical capacity, emotional functioning and coping skills?
1. Are the individuals involved in the sexual incident roughly equivalent in regard to development, cognitive capacity, physical capacity, emotional functioning and coping skills? No Yes If no, describe: Note: if individuals differ in regard to age, development or cognitive capacity by four or more years, or if one or more of the individuals involved in the sexual incident are physically incapacitated, the incident in question may represent a concerning power imbalance that warrants further scrutiny.
1. Are the individuals involved in the sexual incident roughly equivalent in regard to development, cognitive capacity, physical capacity, emotional functioning and coping skills? No Yes If no, describe: Note: if individuals differ in regard to age, development or cognitive capacity by four or more years, or if one or more of the individuals involved in the sexual incident are physically incapacitated, the incident in question may represent a concerning power imbalance that warrants further scrutiny. Consider Supervision Strategies (page 10-11): 18, 20, 50, 52
1. Are the individuals involved in the sexual incident roughly equivalent in regard to development, cognitive capacity, physical capacity, emotional functioning and coping skills? No Yes If no, describe: Note: if individuals differ in regard to age, development or cognitive capacity by four or more years, or if one or more of the individuals involved in the sexual incident are physically incapacitated, the incident in question may represent a concerning power imbalance that warrants further scrutiny. Consider Supervision Strategies (page 10-11): 18, 20, 50, 52 Historical Data
1. Are the individuals involved in the sexual incident roughly equivalent in regard to development, cognitive capacity, physical capacity, emotional functioning and coping skills? No Yes If no, describe: Note: if individuals differ in regard to age, development or cognitive capacity by four or more years, or if one or more of the individuals involved in the sexual incident are physically incapacitated, the incident in question may represent a concerning power imbalance that warrants further scrutiny. Consider Supervision Strategies (page 10-11): 18, 20, 50, 52 Historical Data (Gathered via SRO investigation and file review)

3.	Has the student involved in the sexual incident been previously censured, disciplined, or placed on a behavior/safety plan for sexually inappropriate behavior? No Yes			
If	yes, describe:			
	ote: Continuing sexually inappropriate behavior in response to censure may suggest a more serious concern garding sexual misconduct that may warrant closer scrutiny.			
4.	Is there any evidence that the student has been exposed to inappropriate sexual content or behavior?			
	No Yes If yes, describe:			
	ote: Research suggests that developmentally premature or inappropriate exposure may play a role in the velopment of concerning sexual behavior.			
Со	nsider Supervision Strategies (page 9-10): 18, 20, 38, 40, 43, 44, 50, 52, 58			
	Incident Details			
5.	Do all parties involved in the sexual incident (when spoken to separately) agree upon the details of the incident?			
	No Yes If yes, describe:			
	ote: disagreement may reflect dishonesty and the need of one of the members to conceal the degree to which ey instigated the sexual incident or attempted to hide its discovery.			
6.	Were coercion, violence, threats, force, manipulation, gifts, and/or privileges used by one or more parties as a strategy to facilitate compliance with the sexual incident or maintain secrecy?			
	No Yes If yes, describe:			
at att	ote: Coercion indicates that at least one of the parties involved in the sexual incident put undue pressure on least one of the other parties, suggesting that further scrutiny is warranted. Pay particularly close cention to any attempt/effort made by any party to maintain secrecy regarding the incident as this speaks the degree to which the individual had knowledge that the sexual incident was inappropriate.			
Со	nsider Supervision Strategies (page 9-10): 6, 40, 43, 49, 50, 51, 52			
7.	Was the sexual behavior consistent with developmentally normative/common sexual conduct (refer to Developmentally Normative/Common Sexual Conduct Form)?			
	No Yes If yes, describe:			
	ote: developmentally atypical sexual behavior may suggest pathological sexual development that warrants other scrutiny.			
Со	nsider Supervision Strategies (page 9-10): 40, 43, 50, 52, 58			

8.	Did the sexual incident cause physical or emotional pain or discomfort to any of the involved parties?			
	No Yes If yes, describe:			
	ote: sexual behavior that causes emotional, physical pain and/or psychological distress to others suggests at the event in question was harmful and should be examined with further scrutiny.			
Со	nsider Supervision Strategies (page 9-10): 40, 50, 52			
9.	What does the student indicate was the motive for the sexual behavior (how do they explain it)?			
De	escribe:			
	ote: Poor insight, deceptiveness, lack of empathy, and minimization may suggest the need for intervention is gher than when these areas are not compromised.			
Со	nsider Supervision Strategies (page 9-10): 40, 50, 52			
10	. Was there an obvious imbalance in power (difference in physical strength or access to opportunity/resources) among the individuals involved in the sexual incident? No Yes If yes, describe:			
No	ote: an imbalance of power may suggest that coercion played a role in the sexual incident.			
Со	nsider Supervision Strategies (page 9-10): 6, 40, 43, 49, 50, 51, 52			
11.	. Was a weapon present during the sexual incident? No Yes If yes, describe:			
CO	ote: a weapon refers to any object that may be used to threaten physical or emotional safety (i.e. not limited to nventional weapons such as knives or firearms). The mere presence of a weapon, whether employed in a reatening manner or not, may suggest that coercion was employed.			
Со	nsider Supervision Strategies (page 9-10): 6, 40, 43, 49, 50, 51, 52			
12.	Did grooming occur in the context of the sexual incident (refer to the Grooming Behaviors Form)? No Yes If yes, describe:			
	ote: grooming suggests that strong sexual intent and manipulation played a role in the sexual incident which ay require further scrutiny.			
Со	nsider Supervision Strategies (page 9-10): 40, 50, 52			

Other Concerns				
Enuretic/Encopretic? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ Past☐ Present Consider Supervision Strategies: 40, 49 See definitions below:	Impulsive? ☐ No ☐ Yes Consider Supervision Strategies: 13, 15			
Harms Animals? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ Past ☐ Present Consider Supervision Strategies: 40, 49	Opportunistically Vigilant? ☐ No ☐ Yes Consider Supervision Strategies: 15, 17, 20, 21			
Planful? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ Past ☐ Present Consider Supervision Strategies: 30, 40, 49	Social Media Involvement?			
Threatening	g Behavior			
Suicidal Ideation? ☐ No☐ Yes☐ Past☐ Present Consider Supervision Strategies: 4, 5, 40, 49	Refer for Suicide Risk Assessment			
Targeted Threat? □ No □ Yes □ Past □ Present Consider Supervision Strategies: 6, 40, 49	Refer for Student Threat Assessment			
Firesetting?	Refer for Student Threat Assessment			
Definitions: Enuretic: Lack of control of urination, especially during sleep; bed-wetting; urinary incontinence Encopretic: Involuntary defecation Other Concerns (DSHS involvement, multiple foster placements, mental health concerns, health concerns, important historical factors, exposure to abuse/neglect, current mood, sleep routine, appetite, medication, familial history of sexual misconduct, etc.):				
Strengths/Inhibitors (stabilizing or positive factors):				
13. Did staff, parents or others voice a strong viscera No Yes If yes, describe:				
Note: a strong visceral response suggests that individuals have a serious concern that is difficult to verbalize. Further scrutiny of the incident is recommended.				

Based upon the aforementioned information, Note the nature of the sexual incident of concern


SEXUAL BEHAVIOR CONTINUUM (Consider AGE, FORCE, and CONTEXT as a factor)				
E. B.	Flirting / Sexual Harassment Public kissing / hugging			
Lower Concern	Peeping Sexual talk / drawing / gesturing			
Low	Rubbing pubic area against object			
	Public masturbation Exposing sexual parts			
ncern	Over the clothes sexual touching			
Higher Concern	Rubbing pubic area against personUnder the clothes non-penetrative sexual touching			
	Penetrative sexual touching			
	Penetrative sex			

Considering requesting a LEVEL 2 THREAT ASSESSMENT if:

- 1. After attempts to follow Level 1 Protocol, team is unable to gather adequate information to effectively format a safety plan or mitigate risk, and/or
- 2. You have confidently answered the questions on this protocol and have safety concerns that are beyond your Site Team's ability to supervise and secure within the school, and/or
- 3. You have exhausted your school resources and would like support to explore community resources.

See Step 5 for Level 2 Threat Assessment referral process.

Step 4: Develop a Supervision Plan to address concerns (including aggravating factors) identified through Step 3.



Sexual Incidents occur at the intersection of Opportunity, Access, and Intent.
In supervision planning, one should be mindful of the degree to which our strategies limit Access and Opportunity, and consider the nature of the student's Intent.

Perceived Intent:	
Engage in sexually concerning behaviorUnknownOther (Specify):	
Target (mark all that apply): Younger children (specify age): Peers Compromised Peers (specify): Adults	☐ Males ☐ Females ☐ Other:
Opportunities (mark all that apply): Transitions/Lining-up Recess/Lunch/Assemblies Bathroom Technology use Bus Aftercare	Classroom Walking Home Community Home Other:

Step 4: Continued

RECOMMENDED INTERVENTIONS (CHECK \boxtimes IF IMPLEMENTED):

Bolded Items are typically included in most supervision plans

1. 2.	Protective Response initiated by Security Department				
5.	Suicide Assessment initiated. Date:(use District Suicide Protocol) No harm contract Threat Assessment initiated. Date:(use District Threat Assessment Protocol) Fire-setter Assessment initiated. Date:(use District Firesetter Assessment Protocol) Other:				
	ol Options: Bus Supervision, Specify:				
10.	Student Escorted from Transport to School Office, and from Classroom to Transport by:				
12.	Student Escorted from School Office to Classroom and back by Adult, Specify: Line-of-Sight Supervision (Zone) Arms-Reach Supervision (one-on-one) Supervised Lunch/Breaks/Recess/Assembly				
20. □ 21. □ 22. □ 23. □ 24. □ 25. □ 26. □ 27. □	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
28. Alert staff on need-to-know basis. Specify staff:					
☐ All supervisory staff ☐ Teacher only ☐ Teacher and I.A.'s only ☐ SRO ☐ Office					

These protocols are only for use by school districts that participate in the ESD 112 Threat Assessment Cooperative and staff trained in the Level 1 Protocol Assessment Process. 29. Random Check of backpack, locker, pocket, purse, etc. by: □ Administrator □ Counselor □ SRO □ Office staff □ Other 30. Assign identified staff to build trusting relationship through check-in or mentorship: ☐Administrator ☐ Mentor ☐ Counselor □Other: 31. Other interventions or supervision strategies that will likely decrease the possibility of a future sexual incident Describe: Step 4: Continued (NOTE: If student is on IEP/504 plan, any change in placement or Special Ed services must be done through Special Education Team process or 504 team process.) 32. ☐ Referral to appropriate school team to consider alternative placement 33. Home supervision pending further assessment 34. Increased supervision in the following settings: 35. Referral to appropriate Special Ed. Team to consider Psycho Educational Evaluation / Special Education Assessment or Behavior Team Referral. (NOTE: Must be done through Special Education Team □lOther: Family / Home Options: Guardians encouraged to: 43. Guardian discouraged from allowing sleepovers 36. ☐ No Access to Technology 43. Guardian provided list of treatment providers 37. ☐ Supervised Access to Technology 38. Line-of-Sight Supervision 45. Guardian provided list of concerning / 39. Safety Proof home grooming behaviors 40. ☐ Review & pursue crisis/mental health services 46.

Guardian discouraged from allowing 41. Provide detailed information regarding safety contact between students involved in concerns to care providers when leaving child in care sexual incident of others 47. Other: _____ 42. 🗌 Increase supervision (specify): _____ **Encouraged Community Options:** Community Organization encouraged to pursue: _____ 53. ☐ Parenting Programs 48. ☐ Mental Health evaluation 49. ☐ Psychosexual evaluation 54. Mentoring programs 50. Anger management programs 55. Notify Probation / Parole officer

Other Options:

56. ☐ Faith Based Community Programs

57. YWCA

52. ☐ Alcohol / Drug evaluation

programs

51. Sexual Misconduct / Interpersonal Boundaries

		and revise as needed on:(date)	
See Step 5 for	Level 2 Threat Assessm	nent referral process.	
determined		creening, and if the Site Team has t is needed, immediately contact oordinator at ESD 112.	
Send a copy of the Level 1 to the Threat Assessment Coordinator as soon as possible			
Please provide Threat Assessment Coordinator with the information requested below so a complete Level 2 team can be assembled in a timely manner.			
If a Level 2 Response is not requested, move to Step 6 to complete the protocol.			
		ident supervision plan (Step 4) to manage m steps taken by Site Team.	
INFORMATI	ON NEEDED FOR D	DISPATCHING A LEVEL 2	
Is student adjudicated? If yes – Name of Probation	☐ Yes ☐ No n Officer	Phone #:	
A Ward of the Court or other supervision?			
 Other agencies or individuals involved with the student (therapists, doctors, etc.) that should included with the parent's permission? ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, is there signed consent for exchange of information? ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, please list agencies and individuals: 			
included with the parent's If yes, is there signed cons	ent for exchange of inform	nation: Lives Lino	
included with the parent's If yes, is there signed cons	ent for exchange of inform	Phone Number	
If yes, please list agencies	ent for exchange of inform		
If yes, please list agencies	ent for exchange of inform		

5. Is student in self-contained	d classroom? \Box	Yes No	
6. Was parent or guardian present at Level 1 survey: \square Yes \square No			
7. Other information Level 2	team will need for	assessment:	
		_	
	St	cep 6	
Sign, send	, file, and beg	in supervision as plan	ned.
1. Sign the Protocol.			
2. Send a copy of the Level	1 Protocol to you	Threat Assessment Coordin	nator.
3. Maintain two copies of the	he Level 1:		
a. One in a letter-siz	e manila envelope	e marked "Confidential Reco	rd" placed in the
student's regular	-		•
b. Keep a second cop	y in a working file	e in the Administrator's (case	manager's) office.
	Team S	ignatures	
Administrator, Plan Supervisor	Date	Counselor	Date
School Resource Officer	 Date	Other	Date
Parent	Date	Other	Date
Other	 Date	Other	 Date